IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

SALLY R. METZ.

Plaintiff.

٧.

Civil Action No. 2:14-CV-56
The Honorable John Preston Bailey

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull [Doc. 13]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Kaull for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Kaull filed his R & R on November 6, 2014, wherein he recommends that the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied, and Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be granted.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

1

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Kaull's R & R were due within fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). The docket reflects that R & R was entered on November 6, 2014. [Doc. 13]. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and Recommendation [Doc. 13]** should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Therefore, the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 11] is **DENIED**, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 9] is **GRANTED** and this action is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner for further action in accordance with the R & R.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record herein. **DATED**: December 2, 2014.

JUHN PRESTON BAILEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE